Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Multiscale methods with compactly supported radial basis functions for the Stokes problem on bounded domains

A. Chernih · Q. T. Le Gia

arXiv:1211.1435v1 [math.NA] 7 Nov 2012

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

November 8, 2012

Abstract In this paper, we investigate the application of radial basis functions (RBFs) for the approximation with collocation of the Stokes problem. The approximate solution is constructed in a multi-level fashion, each level using compactly supported radial basis functions with decreasing scaling factors. We use symmetric collocation and give sufficient conditions for convergence and stability analysis is also presented. Numerical experiments support the theoretical results.

Keywords Radial basis functions · compact support · smoothness · Wendland functions · Gaussian.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 33C90 · 41A05 · 41A15 · 41A30 · 41A63 · 65D07 · 65D10

1 Introduction

In this paper we investigate multiscale symmetric collocation approximation with Wendland compactly supported radial basis functions (RBFs) to solve

---

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council.

A. Chernih
School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW 2052, Australia
Tel.: +61-410-697411, Fax: +612 93857123
E-mail: andrew@andrewch.com

Q. T. Le Gia
School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales
E-mail: qlegia@maths.unsw.edu.au

the Stokes problem

$$-\nu\Delta\mathbf{u}+\nabla p=\mathbf{f}\quad\text{in}\quad\Omega, \tag{1}$$

$$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}=0\quad\text{in}\quad\Omega, \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{g}\quad\text{on}\quad\partial\Omega, \tag{3}$$

where the region $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb{R}^d$, the viscosity ν, $\mathbf{f}:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbf{g}:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^d$ are given and we seek an approximate solution to the velocity $\mathbf{u}:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^d$ and the pressure $p:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$.

Radial basis functions (RBFs) have been increasingly important in the area of approximation theory. For solving partial differential equations (PDEs), RBFs with meshless collocation for PDEs have been investigated in [8,4] and for the Stokes problem in [22]. Matrix-valued, positive definite kernels have been studied in [15,6,7,12,13,22]. Two excellent recent books covering practical and theoretical issues related to RBFs are [4] and [21]. We recall that a function $\Psi:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$ is said to be *radial* if there exists a function $\psi:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $\Psi(\mathbf{x})=\psi(\|\mathbf{x}\|_2)$ for all $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d$, where $\|\cdot\|_2$ denoting the usual Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then with a scaling factor $\delta>0$, we can define a scaled RBF as

$$\Psi_\delta(\mathbf{x})=\delta^{-d}\psi\left(\frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{\delta}\right).$$

A practical issue that arises is that of which scale to use for the radial basis functions. A small scale will lead to a sparse and consequently well-conditioned linear system, but at the price of the approximation power. Conversely, a large scale will have better approximation power but at the price of a poorly-conditioned linear system.

Many examples may naturally exhibit multiple scales, for example, modelling fluid dynamics, where many different scales may be required. Of course, this comes at the price of having to select which scales to use in which regions but this is not the topic of this paper.

The multiscale algorithm investigated in this paper is constructed over multiple levels, in which the residual of the current stage is the target function for the next stage, and in each stage, RBFs with smaller support and with more closely spaced centres will be used as basis functions.

Such a multiscale algorithm for interpolation was first proposed in [5] and [18] but without any theoretical grounding. Theoretical convergence was proven in the case of the data points being located on a sphere [10] and then extended to interpolation and approximation on bounded domains [23].

In [11] we can find an analysis of multiscale algorithms for RBF collocation of elliptic PDEs on the sphere.

The extension to considering the Stokes problem on a bounded domain changes the analysis significantly as matrix-valued kernels need to be considered with divergence-free approximation spaces. The scaled kernel, convergence and stability analysis are significant new contributions.

Our approach differs significantly from the literature, where for example in [14] the authors consider the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in its weak formulation, and then decompose the velocity into coarse and fine scales.

In the next section we provide necessary background material regarding point sets and function spaces. Section 3 describes our (single scale) symmetric collocation approximation and then Section 4 extends this to a multiscale algorithm and provides proofs of convergence. Section 5 provides an analysis of the stability of the approximations. Section 6 provides numerical experiments to test the theoretical results.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, we will use (scaled) compactly supported radial basis functions to construct multiscale approximate solutions to the Stokes problem, that is, we form the solution over multiple levels. We will work with a given domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. A kernel $\Phi : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is also given.

At each level, we will have a finite point set $X \subseteq \Omega$. We will define the *mesh norm* as

$$h_{X,\Omega} := \sup_{\mathbf{x}\in\Omega} \min_{\mathbf{x}_j\in X} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j\|_2,$$

and the *separation distance* as

$$q_X := \frac{1}{2} \min_{j\neq k} \|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k\|_2,$$

which are measures of the uniformity of the points in X. Then for example, at each level i, we denote the mesh norm by h_i. The selection of point sets with mesh norms decreasing in a specific way will form one of the requirements for convergence of our algorithms and the separation distance will be used for the stability analysis.

We define the Sobolev spaces in the usual way. For a given domain, $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $1 \le p < \infty$, the Sobolev spaces $W_p^k(\Omega)$ consist of all u with weak derivatives $D^\alpha u \in L_p(\Omega)$, $|\alpha| \le k$. The semi-norms and norms are defined as

$$|u|_{W_p^k(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L_p(\Omega)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{W_p^k(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha|\le k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L_p(\Omega)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

For $p = \infty$, these definitions become

$$|u|_{W_\infty^k(\Omega)} = \sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{W_\infty^k(\Omega)} = \sup_{|\alpha|\le k} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}.$$

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $0 < s < 1$. Then we can define the fractional Sobolev spaces $W_p^{k+s}(\Omega)$ as all u for which the two norms

$$|u|_{W_p^{k+s}(\Omega)} := \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|D^\alpha u(\mathbf{x}) - D^\alpha u(\mathbf{y})|^p}{\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|_2^{d+ps}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\|u\|_{W_p^{k+s}(\Omega)} := \left(\|u\|^p_{W_p^k(\Omega)} + |u|^p_{W_p^{k+s}(\Omega)} \right)^{1/p}$$

are finite. For the case $p = 2$, we write $W_2^k(\Omega) = H^k(\Omega)$ and $L_2(\Omega) = W_2^0(\Omega)$.

The functions that we will be concerned with are defined on a bounded domain Ω with a Lipschitz boundary. As a result, there is an extension operator for functions defined in Sobolev spaces which is presented in the following lemma [1, Theorem 1.4.5]. For further details, we refer the reader to [19].

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ has a Lipschitz boundary. Then there is an extension mapping $E_S : H^\tau(\Omega) \to H^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined for all non-negative integers τ satisfying $E_S v|_\Omega = v$ for all $v \in H^\tau(\Omega)$ and*

$$\|E_S v\|_{H^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|v\|_{H^\tau(\Omega)}.$$

C will denote a generic constant. Since we also have $\|v\|_{H^\tau(\Omega)} \leq \|E_S v\|_{H^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d)}$, this means that when we need to consider the $H^\tau(\Omega)$ norms of the errors at each level, we can carry out our error analysis in the $H^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm. This is advantageous, since we then have for $g \in H^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\|g\|^2_{H^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{g}(\boldsymbol{\omega})|^2 \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^\tau \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}, \tag{4}$$

upon defining the Fourier transform as

$$\widehat{g}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(\boldsymbol{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{x}^T\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.$$

At each level, we will also require a kernel $\Psi : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$. We will use the Wendland compactly supported radial basis functions [21] with a (level-specific) scaling parameter $\delta > 0$. We recall that for a given spatial dimension d and smoothness parameter $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the Wendland functions are defined as

$$\psi_{\ell,k}(r) := \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\Gamma(k)\, 2^{k-1}} \displaystyle\int_r^1 s\,(1-s)^\ell (s^2 - r^2)^{k-1} \mathrm{d}s & \text{for } \ 0 \leq r \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } \ r > 1, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

with $\ell := \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + k + 1$. This choice of ℓ ensures the Wendland functions are positive definite. It is the reproducing kernel of a Hilbert space which is norm equivalent to the Sobolev space $H^{\frac{d+1}{2}+k}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For the Wendland basis functions, there exist two constants $0 < c_1 \leq c_2$, which depend on d and k, such that their Fourier transforms satisfy [21]

$$c_1 \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}-k-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \widehat{\Psi}_{\ell,k}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \leq c_2 \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{-\frac{d}{2}-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{6}$$

With a given kernel Ψ and scaling factor $\delta > 0$, we define the scaled kernel as

$$\Psi_\delta(\mathbf{x}) := \delta^{-d} \psi\left(\frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{\delta}\right). \tag{7}$$

Appropriate selection of the scaling parameters will also prove to be one of the important ingredients for convergence of our multiscale algorithm.

We will need norm equivalence as stated in the following lemma from [2].

Lemma 2.2 *For every* $\delta \in (0, \delta_a]$ *and for all* $g \in H^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d)$*, there exist constants* $0 < c_3 \leq c_4$ *such that*

$$c_3 \|g\|_{\Phi_\delta} \leq \|g\|_{H^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c_4 \delta^{-\tau} \|g\|_{\Phi_\delta}.$$

As we will be working with vectors, in particular for $\mathbf{u}$, we will need to define vector-valued Sobolev spaces in the usual way as

$$\mathbf{H}^\tau(\Omega) := H^\tau(\Omega) \times \ldots \times H^\tau(\Omega),$$

with norm

$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_{\mathbf{H}^\tau(\Omega)} := \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \|f_j\|_{H^\tau(\Omega)}^2\right)^{1/2}. \tag{8}$$

Now we define divergence-free approximation spaces in Ω and in $\mathbb{R}^d$. With the divergence of $\mathbf{u} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ defined as

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} := \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j u_j \ ,$$

we define

$$\mathbf{H}^\tau(\Omega; \mathrm{div}) := \left\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^\tau(\Omega) : \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0\right\},$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathrm{div}) := \left\{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{H}^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathrm{div}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\|\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\|_2^2}{\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2} \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{\tau+1} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} < \infty\right\},$$

with norm

$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_{\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathrm{div})}^2 := (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\|\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\|_2^2}{\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2} \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{\tau+1} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}.$$

We note that $\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathrm{div})$ is a subspace of $\mathbf{H}^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathrm{div})$. We will also need that for $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ being a simply connected domain with $C^{\lceil\tau\rceil,1}$ boundary for $d = 2, 3$ and with $\tau \geq 0$, there exists a continuous operator

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathrm{div}} : \mathbf{H}^{\tau}(\Omega;\mathrm{div}) \to \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathrm{div}),$$

such that $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathrm{div}}\mathbf{u}|\Omega = \mathbf{u}$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^{\tau}(\Omega;\mathrm{div})$ [22, Proposition 3.8]. This operator is defined as

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathrm{div}}\mathbf{u} := \nabla \times E_S T\mathbf{u}, \tag{9}$$

where E_S is the extension operator defined in Lemma 2.1 and T is a bounded operator $T : \mathbf{H}^{\tau}(\Omega;\mathrm{div}) \to \mathbf{H}^{\tau+1}(\Omega)$ with $\tau = k + \theta$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\theta \in [0, 1]$.

To measure the pressure, which is determined only up to a constant, we will use the norm

$$\|p\|_{H^{\tau}(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}} := \inf_{c\in\mathbb{R}} \|p + c\|_{H^{\tau}(\Omega)}.$$

Finally, we will need the following “sampling” inequalities, which are valid for both scalars and vectors [16,17,22].

Theorem 2.3 *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary. Let $\tau > d/2$. Let $X \subseteq \Omega$ be a discrete set having mesh norm h sufficiently small. For each $w \in H^{\tau}(\Omega)$ with $w|X = 0$ we have for $0 \leq \sigma \leq \tau$ that*

$$\|w\|_{H^{\sigma}(\Omega)} \leq Ch^{\tau-\sigma}\|w\|_{H^{\tau}(\Omega)}. \tag{10}$$

Theorem 2.4 *Let $\tau = k + s > d/2$. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded domain having $C^{k,s}$ smooth boundary. Let $X \subseteq \partial\Omega$ be a discrete set with h sufficiently small. Then there is a positive constant C such that for all $w \in H^{\tau}(\Omega)$ with $w|X = 0$ we have for $0 \leq \sigma \leq \tau - 1/2$ that*

$$\|w\|_{H^{\sigma}(\partial\Omega)} \leq Ch^{\tau-1/2-\sigma}\|w\|_{H^{\tau}(\Omega)}. \tag{11}$$

We also define a matrix-valued function $\mathbf{\Phi} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ as being *positive definite* if it is even, so $\mathbf{\Phi}(-\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})$, symmetric, so $\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})^T$, and satisfies

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_j^T \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k)\boldsymbol{\alpha}_k > 0,$$

for all pairwise distinct $\mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that not all $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_j$ are vanishing.

3 Symmetric collocation approximation

We will first consider a single-scale approximant to the combined velocity and pressure vector $\mathbf{v} := (\mathbf{u}, p) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, following [15,6,22]. Then (1)-(3) become

$$(L\mathbf{v})_i := -\nu \sum_{j=1}^{d} \partial_{jj} v_i + \partial_i v_{d+1} = f_i \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{12}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} \partial_j v_j = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{13}$$

$$v_i = g_i \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \tag{14}$$

where $1 \le i \le d$. We seek a meshfree, kernel-based collocation method with an analytically divergence-free approximation space. We use the notation $\psi_{\tau+1}$ and $\psi_{\tau-1}$ to denote the functions to be used in our matrix-valued kernel. We will mainly be interested in the case where both $\psi_{\tau+1}$ and $\psi_{\tau-1}$ are Wendland functions which, for a given spatial dimension d, have native space norms equivalent to the Sobolev spaces $H^{\tau+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $H^{\tau-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ respectively. Their Fourier transforms satisfy

$$c_{1,\tau+1}(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2)^{-\tau-1} \le \widehat{\psi_{\tau+1}}(\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2) \le c_{2,\tau+1}(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2)^{-\tau-1}, \tag{15}$$

and

$$c_{1,\tau-1}(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2)^{-\tau+1} \le \widehat{\psi_{\tau-1}}(\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2) \le c_{2,\tau-1}(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2)^{-\tau+1}, \tag{16}$$

and we define $\bar{C}_1 := \min(c_{1,\tau+1}, c_{1,\tau-1})$ and $\bar{C}_2 := \max(c_{2,\tau+1}, c_{2,\tau-1})$. Then we define the matrix-valued kernel

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} := \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\tau+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \psi_{\tau-1} \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{(d+1)\times(d+1)}, \tag{17}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\tau+1} := (-\Delta \mathbf{I} + \nabla\nabla^T)\psi_{\tau+1}$ with $\mathbf{I}$ denoting the identity matrix. We note that $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\tau+1}$ is also positive definite [15] and hence due to the tensor product construction of $\mathbf{\Phi}$, it is positive definite as well. This choice for $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\tau+1}$ is known to lead to divergence-free interpolants [15]. We also note that

$$\widehat{\mathbf{\Psi}_{\tau+1}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \left(\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2 \mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{\omega}^T\right) \widehat{\psi_{\tau+1}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}). \tag{18}$$

We will consider the case where the collocation points are the same as the RBF centres. We denote the interior centres by $X_1 := \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$ and the boundary centres by $X_2 := \{\mathbf{x}_{N+1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_M\}$ and their union by $X = X_1 \cup X_2$, with mesh norms h_1 and h_2 respectively. Since (13) is automatically satisfied, this means that our approximant and collocation conditions will consist of dN terms from (12) and $d(M-N)$ terms from (14). Then with L^y denoting the operator L acting as a function of the second argument, applied to rows of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$, our approximant takes the form

$$\mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\alpha_{i,j}\left(L^y\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j\right)\right)_i + \sum_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{j=N+1}^{M}\alpha_{i,j}\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j\right)_i, \tag{19}$$

where the notation $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_i$ means column i of the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$. The coefficients $\alpha_{i,j}$, $1 \le i \le d$, $1 \le j \le M$ are determined by the collocation conditions

$$\left(L\mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}_j)\right)_i = f_i(\mathbf{x}_j), \quad 1 \le i \le d,\ j = 1,\ldots,N, \tag{20}$$

$$\left(\mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}_j)\right)_i = g_i(\mathbf{x}_j), \quad 1 \le i \le d,\ j = N+1,\ldots,M. \tag{21}$$

From [6,22], we know that if $\psi_{\tau+1}, \psi_{\tau-1}$ are positive definite and if $\mathbf{\Psi}_{\tau+1} \in W_1^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the native space of the kernel $\mathbf{\Phi}$ given by (17) is

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Psi}_{\tau+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{N}_{\psi_{\tau-1}}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

with norm

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{f}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \|\mathbf{f_u}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Psi}_{\tau+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|f_p\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\psi_{\tau-1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left[\frac{\|\widehat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathbf{u}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\|_2^2}{\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\widehat{\psi_{\tau+1}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})} + \frac{|\widehat{f_p}(\boldsymbol{\omega})|^2}{\widehat{\psi_{\tau-1}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})}\right]\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega},\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $\mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{f_u}, f_p)^T$ with $\mathbf{f_u} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and $f_p : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$. We recall that the generalised interpolant satisfies [21, Chapter 16]

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

With (15) and (16), upon defining the extension operator for the velocity-pressure vector $\mathbf{v}$ as

$$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v} := \left(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathrm{div}}\mathbf{u}, E_{\mathrm{S}}p\right), \tag{23}$$

where E_{S} is the classical Stein extension operator as defined in Lemma 2.1, then the native space of our approximant given by (19) is

$$\mathbf{E} : \mathbf{H}^\tau(\Omega;\mathrm{div}) \times H^{\tau-1}(\Omega) \to \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathrm{div}) \times H^{\tau-1}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Once again we can define interpolants with scaled kernels. In this case, we define the matrix-valued kernel

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}_\delta := \begin{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\tau+1,\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & \psi_{\tau-1,\delta}\end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{(d+1)\times(d+1)}, \tag{24}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\tau+1,\delta} := (-\Delta\mathbf{I}+\nabla\nabla^T)\psi_{\tau+1,\delta}$ and the scaled basis functions are defined as in (7). Then the native space of the kernel $\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta$ is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Psi}_{\tau+1,\delta}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{N}_{\psi_{\tau-1,\delta}}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

with norm

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{f}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \|\mathbf{f_u}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Psi}_{\tau+1,\delta}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|f_p\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\psi_{\tau-1,\delta}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left[\frac{\|\widehat{\mathbf{f}_\mathbf{u}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\|_2^2}{\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\widehat{\psi_{\tau+1,\delta}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})} + \frac{|\widehat{f_p}(\boldsymbol{\omega})|^2}{\widehat{\psi_{\tau-1,\delta}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})}\right]\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}. \qquad (25)\end{aligned}$$

We will need norm equivalence as stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *For every $\delta \in (0, \delta_a]$ where $\psi_{\tau+1}$ and $\psi_{\tau-1}$ generate $H^{\tau+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $H^{\tau-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ respectively, we have $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and for every $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there exist positive constants c_5 and c_6 such that*

$$c_5^{1/2}\|\mathbf{f}\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|\mathbf{f}\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le c_6^{1/2}\delta^{-\tau-1}\|\mathbf{f}\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Proof For $\delta \le 1$ we have

$$\left(1+\delta^2\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^\tau \ge \left(\delta^2+\delta^2\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^\tau \ge \delta^{2\tau}\left(1+\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^\tau. \qquad (26)$$

With the definitions of the $\mathbf{\Phi}$ and $\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta$ norms in (22) and (25), and with (15) and (16), we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{f}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\ge \frac{\bar{C}_2}{\bar{C}_1}\delta^{2\tau+2}\left[\|\mathbf{f_u}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Psi}_{\tau+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|f_p\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\psi_{\tau-1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right] \\ &= \frac{\bar{C}_2}{\bar{C}_1}\delta^{2\tau+2}\|\mathbf{f}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $\delta > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{f}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\ge \frac{\bar{C}_2}{\bar{C}_1}\left[\|\mathbf{f_u}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Psi}_{\tau+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|f_p\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\psi_{\tau-1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right] \\ &= \frac{\bar{C}_2}{\bar{C}_1}\|\mathbf{f}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.\end{aligned}$$

For the lower bound if $\delta \le 1$, we can simply use that $\delta^2\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2 \le \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2$ to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{f}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\le \frac{\bar{C}_1}{\bar{C}_2}\left[\|\mathbf{f_u}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Psi}_{\tau+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|f_p\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\psi_{\tau-1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right] \\ &= \frac{\bar{C}_1}{\bar{C}_2}\|\mathbf{f}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\delta > 1$, with

$$(1+\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2)^\tau = \delta^{-2\tau}(\delta^2+\delta^2\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2)^\tau \ge \delta_a^{-2\tau}(1+\delta^2\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2)^\tau,$$

we reach

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{f}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\le \frac{\bar{C}_1}{\bar{C}_2}\delta_a^{2\tau+2}\left[\|\mathbf{f_u}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Psi}_{\tau+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|f_p\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\psi_{\tau-1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right] \\ &= \frac{\bar{C}_1}{\bar{C}_2}\delta_a^{2\tau+2}\|\mathbf{f}\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows with $c_5 := (\bar{C}_1/\bar{C}_2)^{1/2}\min(1, \delta_a^{\tau+1})$ and $c_6 := (\bar{C}_2/\bar{C}_1)^{1/2}\max(1, \delta_a^{\tau+1})$.

We require one further result from [20].

Theorem 3.2 *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a $C^{m+1,1}$ smooth domain with outer normal vector $\mathbf{n}$. For each $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{H}^m(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{H}^{m+3/2}(\partial\Omega)$ with $\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, \mathrm{d}S = 0$, the nonhomogeneous Stokes problem (1)-(3) has a unique solution $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^{m+2}(\Omega)$ and $p \in H^{m+1}(\Omega)$ and*

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{m+2}(\Omega)} + \|p\|_{H^{m+1}(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{f}\|_{\mathbf{H}^m(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{m+3/2}(\partial\Omega)} \right) \tag{27}$$

Theorem 3.3 *Let $\tau > 2 + d/2$. Assume that $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded, simply connected region with a $C^{\lceil\tau\rceil,1}$ boundary. Let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{H}^{\tau-2}(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{H}^{\tau-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy $\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, \mathrm{d}S = 0$. Suppose the kernel $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is chosen such that $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathrm{div}) \times H^{\tau-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then the approximation $\mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{v}$ given by (19) to the Stokes problem (1)-(3) satisfies the error bound*

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)} \leq C \, \bar{h}^{\tau-2} \|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{28}$$

where $\bar{h} := \max(h_1, h_2)$ and the extension operator $\mathbf{E}$ is given by (23).

Proof With the definition of the Sobolev space norms in (8) and assuming that we choose the representer for the pressure p such that $\|p\|_{H^1(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}} = \|p\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)} &\leq \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)} + \|p - S_X p\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)} + \|p - S_X p\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \\ &= \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)} + \|p - S_X p\|_{H^1(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}} \\ &\leq C\|L\mathbf{v} - L\mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where the last line follows from (27) applied to $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{v}$ with $m = 0$. We now extend the function $\mathbf{v}$ to $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d) \times H^{\tau-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and note that the generalised interpolant $\mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{v}$ coincides with $\mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}$. We now consider the two terms in the right hand side of (29) separately. From (10), we have

$$\|L\mathbf{v} - L\mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)} \leq C h_1^{\tau-2} \|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

From (11), we have

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C h_2^{\tau-2} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^\tau(\Omega)}. \tag{30}$$

Now we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^\tau(\Omega)} &\leq \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^\tau(\Omega)} + \|p - S_X p\|_{H^{\tau-1}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathrm{div}}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{S}_X\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathrm{div}}\mathbf{u}\|_{\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathrm{div})} + \|Ep - S_X E_S p\|_{H^{\tau-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq C\|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the stated result follows.

4 Multiscale symmetric collocation approximation

We can now formally state our multiscale algorithm for the symmetric collocation solution of (1)-(3) which is stated as Algorithm 1. To simplify notation, we write $\mathbf{S}_i\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{S}_{X_i}\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{\Phi}_i = \mathbf{\Phi}_{\delta_i}$ and denote the mesh norms for the interior and boundary collocation points at level i as $h_{1,i}$ and $h_{2,i}$ respectively.

Algorithm 1: Multiscale symmetric collocation approximation to the Stokes problem

```
Data:    $n$: number of levels
         $X_i := \{X_{1,i}, X_{2,i}\}_{i=1}^n$: the interior and boundary collocation
         points for each level $i$, with mesh norms at each level given by
         $\{h_{1,i}, h_{2,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ satisfying $c\mu\bar{h}_i \le \bar{h}_{i+1} \le \mu\bar{h}_i$, where
         $\bar{h}_i := \max(h_{1,i}, h_{2,i})$ with fixed $\mu \in (0,1), c \in (0,1]$ and
         $\bar{h}_1$ sufficiently small
         $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ : the scale parameters to use at each level, satisfying
         $\delta_i = \beta\bar{h}_i^{1-3/(\tau+1)}$, $\beta$ is a fixed constant.
begin
    Set $\mathbf{M}_0\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{f}_0 = \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_0 = \mathbf{g}$
    for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ do
        With the scaled kernel $\mathbf{\Phi}_i$, solve the symmetric collocation linear system
            $(L\mathbf{S}_i\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}))_j = f_{i-1,j}(\mathbf{x}), \quad 1 \le j \le d, \ \mathbf{x} \in X_{1,i}$
            $(\mathbf{S}_i\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}))_j = g_{i-1,j}(\mathbf{x}), \quad 1 \le j \le d, \ \mathbf{x} \in X_{2,i}.$
        Update the solution and residual according to
            $\mathbf{M}_i\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{M}_{i-1}\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{S}_i\mathbf{v}$
            $\mathbf{f}_i = \mathbf{f}_{i-1} - L\mathbf{S}_i\mathbf{v}$
            $\mathbf{g}_i = \mathbf{g}_{i-1} - \mathbf{S}_i\mathbf{v}$
Result: Approximate solution at level $n$, $\mathbf{M}_n\mathbf{v}$
The error at level $n$, $\mathbf{e}_n := \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{M}_n\mathbf{v}$.
```

We require a technical lemma regarding the error in the estimation of the velocity u.

Lemma 4.1 *Assume that* $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^\tau(\Omega; \mathrm{div})$ *with* $\tau > 0$ *and let* $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathrm{div}}$ *be defined by* (9)*. Then we have the following bound*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\left\|\widehat{\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathrm{div}}\mathbf{u}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\|_2^2}{\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} \le C\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)}.$$

Proof With the definitions of the $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\text{div}}$,$E_S$ and T operators, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\left\|\widehat{\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\text{div}}\mathbf{u}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\|_2^2}{\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\left\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\times\widehat{E_S T\mathbf{u}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\|_2^2}{\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} \\
&\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left\|\widehat{E_S T\mathbf{u}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\|_2^2\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} \\
&= C\|E_S T\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\
&\le C\|E_S T\mathbf{u}\|^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\
&\le C\|T\mathbf{u}\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \\
&\le C\|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have also used that the E_S and T operators are bounded (Lemma 2.1).

The following theorem and corollary are our main results on the convergence of the multiscale symmetric collocation algorithm for solving the Stokes problem.

Theorem 4.2 *Assume that Ω and $\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}$ satisfy the smoothness assumptions of Theorem 3.3. Suppose the kernel $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is chosen such that $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)=\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}^\tau(\mathbb{R}^d;\text{div})\times H^{\tau-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and define the scaled kernels by (24) with scale factor δ_j. Then for Algorithm 1 there exists a constant α_1 such that*

$$\|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{e}_j\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\Phi_{j+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \alpha_1\|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{e}_{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\Phi_j}(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \tag{31}$$

where α_1 is a constant independent of the point sets $X_1,X_2,\dots$ and $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{e}_j$ is the extension operator for $\mathbf{v}$ defined in (23) applied to the error at level j defined in Algorithm 1.

Proof With the notation $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{e_j}=\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{M}_j\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}=(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{M}_j\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\text{div}}\mathbf{u},p-M_jE_Sp)^T=(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\text{div}}\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u},j},E_Se_{p,j})^T$ and with (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{e}_j\|^2_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_{j+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \;\le\; &\bar{C}_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Bigg[\frac{\left\|\widehat{\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\text{div}}\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u},j}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right\|_2^2}{\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2}\left(1+\delta_{j+1}^2\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{\tau+1} \\
&+\;\left|\widehat{E_Se_{p,j}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right|^2\left(1+\delta_{j+1}^2\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{\tau-1}\Bigg]\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} \\
=:\; &I_1+I_2,
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$I_1 := \int\limits_{\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta_{j+1}}} \left[\frac{\left\| \widehat{\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathrm{div}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u},j}(\boldsymbol{\omega})} \right\|_2^2}{\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2} \left(1 + \delta_{j+1}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{\tau+1} + \widehat{E_S e_{p,j}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \left(1 + \delta_{j+1}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{\tau-1} \right] \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega},$$

$$I_2 := \int\limits_{\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{\delta_{j+1}}} \left[\frac{\left\| \widehat{\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathrm{div}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u},j}(\boldsymbol{\omega})} \right\|_2^2}{\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2} \left(1 + \delta_{j+1}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{\tau+1} + \widehat{E_S e_{p,j}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \left(1 + \delta_{j+1}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{\tau-1} \right] \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}.$$

For I_1, we can use that $\delta_{j+1}\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2 \leq 1$, Lemmata 3.1 and 4.1 and Theorem 3.3 to yield

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C \left(\|\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u},j}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}^2 + \|E_S e_{p,j}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u},j}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}^2 + \|e_{p,j}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \\ &\leq C \bar{h}_j^{2\tau-4} \|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{e}_j\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\ &\leq C \frac{\bar{h}_j^{2\tau-4}}{\delta_j^{2\tau+2}} \|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{e}_{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_j}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\ &= C_1 \beta^{-2\tau-2} \|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{e}_{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_j}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have also used that the interpolant is norm-minimal with respect to the $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_j}(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm.

For I_2, since $\delta_{j+1}\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2 \geq 1$, we have

$$\left(1 + \delta_{j+1}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{\tau} \leq 2^{\tau} \delta_{j+1}^{2\tau} \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|) 2^{2\tau} \leq \left(2\delta_{j+1}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{\tau} \leq 2^{\tau} \mu^{2\tau} \left(1 + \delta_j^2 \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{\tau},$$

and hence if $\mu, \delta \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C \mu^{2\tau-2} \|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{e}_j\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_j}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\ &\leq C_2 \mu^{2\tau-2} \|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{e}_{j-1}\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_j}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have again used that the interpolant is norm-minimal with respect to the $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{\Phi}_j}(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm. The result follows with

$$\alpha_1 := \left(C_1 \beta^{-2\tau-2} + C_2 \mu^{2\tau-2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Corollary 4.3 *There exist positive constants C_3 and C_4 such that*

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{M}_n \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)} \leq C_3 \alpha_1^n \left(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\tau}(\Omega)} + \|p\|_{H^{\tau-1}(\Omega)} \right) \qquad \textit{for} \ \ n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{M}_n \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\partial\Omega)} \leq C_4 \alpha_1^n \left(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\tau}(\Omega)} + \|p\|_{H^{\tau-1}(\Omega)} \right) \qquad \textit{for} \ \ n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

Thus the multiscale approximation $\mathbf{M}_n \mathbf{v}$ resulting from Algorithm 1 converges linearly to $\mathbf{v}$ in the L_2-norm in Ω and on $\partial\Omega$ if $\alpha_1 < 1$.

Proof With Lemma 3.1 and Theorems 3.3 and 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{M}_n\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)} &\le \|\mathbf{e}_n\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}\\ &\le Ch_{1,n}^{\tau-2}\|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{e}_n\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\\ &\le C\|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{e}_n\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\\ &\le C\alpha_1^n\|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\\ &\le C\alpha_1^n\|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\\ &\le C\alpha_1^n\left(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^\tau(\Omega)}+\|p\|_{H^{\tau-1}(\Omega)}\right),\end{aligned}$$

which proves the first result. For the second result, with (30) we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{M}_n\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\partial\Omega)} &\le \|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{M}_n\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)}\\ &\le Ch_{2,n}^{\tau-2}\|\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{M}_n\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^\tau(\Omega)}\\ &\le C\bar{h}_n^{\tau-2}\|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{e}_n\|_{\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)},\end{aligned}$$

and the remainder of the proof is the same as for the first result.

5 Condition number

In this section, we present upper and lower bounds for the eigenvalues of the multiscale symmetric collocation algorithm for the Stokes problem. At each step of the multiscale algorithm, we need to solve a linear system resulting from the collocation conditions (20) and (21) on a set $X=\{\mathbf{x}_1,\dots,\mathbf{x}_M\}$:

$$\mathbf{A}_\delta\mathbf{b}=(\mathbf{f}\;\;\mathbf{g})^T.$$

Since the collocation matrix $\mathbf{A}_\delta$ is symmetric and positive definite, we know that the condition number is given by

$$\kappa(\mathbf{A}_\delta)=\frac{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A}_\delta)}{\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{A}_\delta)}, \tag{32}$$

where $\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A}_\delta)$ and $\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{A}_\delta)$ denote the maximum and minimum eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}_\delta$.

We will first need several technical lemmas concerning derivatives of the Wendland functions.

Lemma 5.1 *With spatial dimension d and smoothness parameter $k=2,3,\dots$ let $\psi_{\ell,k}$ be the original Wendland function. Then with $\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and $1\le i,j\le d$ and $i\ne j$, we have*

$$\partial_{ij}\psi_{\ell,k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}}=0.$$

Proof We recall that the Wendland functions are piecewise polynomials with support $[0,1]$ and we can write [21]

$$\psi_{\ell,k}(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{2k+\ell} b_i\, r^i, \quad r \in [0,1] \tag{33}$$

and that the first k odd coefficients $\{b_{2i+1}\}_{i=0}^{k}$ vanish. With the chain rule, where $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y} = (x_1-y_1,\ldots,x_d-y_d)$ and $r = \|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|_2$, we have

$$\partial_{ij}\psi_{\ell,k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) = \frac{(x_i-y_i)(x_j-y_j)}{r^2}\left(\psi_{\ell,k}^{(2)}(r) - \frac{1}{r}\psi_{\ell,k}^{(1)}(r)\right).$$

Using (33), this last expression becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{ij}\psi_{\ell,k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \;&=\; \frac{(x_i-y_i)(x_j-y_j)}{r^2}\left(\sum_{i=2}^{2k+\ell} b_i\,(i-1)_2\, r^{i-2} - \sum_{i=1}^{2k+\ell} i\, b_i\, r^{i-2}\right),\\ &=: \frac{(x_i-y_i)(x_j-y_j)}{r^2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2k+\ell} \bar{b}_i\, r^{i-2}\right) \qquad (34)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(c)_n := \frac{\Gamma(c+n)}{\Gamma(c)}$$

denotes the Pochhammer symbol. Now the first three coefficients $\{\bar{b}_i\}_{i=1}^{3}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{b}_1 &= b_1 = 0\\ \bar{b}_2 &= (2-2)b_2 = 0\\ \bar{b}_3 &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

since the first k odd coefficients of the Wendland polynomial are zero and $k \geq 2$. Hence we can write

$$\partial_{ij}\psi_{\ell,k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) = (x_i-y_i)(x_j-y_j)\left(\sum_{i=4}^{2k+\ell}\bar{b}_i\, r^{i-4}\right),$$

and the result follows immediately.

Lemma 5.2 *With spatial dimension d and smoothness parameter $k = 3,4,\ldots$ let $\psi_{\ell,k}$ be the original Wendland function. Then with $\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $1 \leq i,j \leq d$ and $i \neq j$, we have*

$$\partial_{ij}\Delta^2\psi_{\ell,k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}} = 0.$$

Proof Once again employing the chain rule gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_{ij}\Delta^2\psi_{\ell,k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) = \frac{(x_i-y_i)(x_j-y_j)}{r^2}\\ &\times\left(\psi_{\ell,k}^{(6)}(r) + \frac{1}{r}\psi_{\ell,k}^{(5)}(r) - \frac{7}{r^2}\psi_{\ell,k}^{(4)}(r) + \frac{12}{r^3}\psi_{\ell,k}^{(3)}(r) - \frac{15}{r^4}\psi_{\ell,k}^{(2)}(r) + \frac{15}{r^5}\psi_{\ell,k}^{(1)}(r)\right).\end{aligned}$$

With (33) we can rewrite this as

$$\partial_{ij} \Delta^2 \psi_{\ell,k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) = \frac{(x_i-y_i)(x_j-y_j)}{r^2}\Bigg[\sum_{i=6}^{2k+\ell} b_i(i-5)_6 r^{i-6} + \sum_{i=5}^{2k+\ell} b_i(i-4)_5 r^{i-6}$$
$$-7\sum_{i=4}^{2k+\ell} b_i(i-3)_4 r^{i-6} + 12\sum_{i=3}^{2k+\ell} b_i(i-2)_3 r^{i-6} - 15\sum_{i=2}^{2k+\ell} b_i(i-1)_2 r^{i-6} + 15\sum_{i=1}^{2k+\ell} i\, b_i r^{i-6}\Bigg]$$
$$=: \sum_{i=1}^{2k+\ell} \tilde{b}_i r^{i-6}.$$

Since $\tilde{b}_i = C(i) b_i$, the first k odd coefficients $\{\tilde{b}_{2i+1}\}_{i=0}^{k}$ are zero. Then we can determine other coefficients as

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{b}_2 &= 30(b_2 - b_2) = 0\\ \tilde{b}_4 &= b_4(60 - 15(3)_2 + 12(2)_3 - 7(1)_4) = 0\\ \tilde{b}_6 &= b_6(90 - 15(5)_2 + 12(4)_3 - 7(3)_4 + (1)_6) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence since $k = 3, 4, \ldots$, we can write

$$\partial_{ij} \Delta^2 \psi_{\ell,k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) = (x_i-y_i)(x_j-y_j) \sum_{i=8}^{2k+\ell} \tilde{b}_i r^{i-8},$$

and the result follows immediately.

Lemma 5.3 *With spatial dimension d and smoothness parameter $k = 2, 3, \ldots$ let $\psi_{\ell,k}$ be the original Wendland function. Then with $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $1 \le j \le d$ we have*

$$\partial_{jj} \psi_{\ell,k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}} < 0,$$

and is independent of j.

Proof With the chain rule, where once again $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y} = (x_1 - y_1, \ldots, x_d - y_d)$ and $r = \|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|_2$, we have

$$\partial_{jj} \psi_{\ell,k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) = \frac{(x_j-y_j)^2}{r^2}\left(\psi^{(2)}_{\ell,k}(r) - \frac{1}{r}\psi^{(1)}_{\ell,k}(r)\right) + \frac{1}{r}\psi^{(1)}_{\ell,k}(r).$$

With Lemma 5.1, the term in brackets is equal to zero when $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$. Using (33) and noting that the first k odd coefficients are zero, this last term becomes

$$\frac{1}{r}\psi^{(1)}_{\ell,k}(r) = \sum_{i=2}^{2\ell+k} i\, b_i\, r^{i-2},$$

which means that the case of $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$, which is equivalent to $r = 0$, reduces down to $2b_2$. Now combining positive terms into a generic constant C, we have from [3]

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 &= C(-1)^k \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{k} \\ &= C\frac{(-1)^k}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - (k-1))} \\ &= C(-1)^k(-1)^{k-1} < 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have also used [9, 8.339.3]

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - n\right) = \sqrt{\pi}\frac{(-4)^n n!}{(2n)!}.$$

Lemma 5.4 *With spatial dimension d and smoothness parameter $k = 3, 4, \dots$ let $\psi_{\ell,k}$ be the original Wendland function. Then with $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $1 \le j \le d$ we have*

$$\partial_{jj} \Delta^2 \psi_{\ell,k}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}} < 0,$$

and is independent of j.

Proof With the chain rule, where once again $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} = (x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_d - y_d)$ and $r = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{jj} \Delta^2 \psi_{\ell,k}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) &= \frac{(x_j - y_j)^2}{r^2} \\ \times \left(\psi^{(6)}_{\ell,k}(r) + \frac{1}{r}\psi^{(5)}_{\ell,k}(r) - \frac{7}{r^2}\psi^{(4)}_{\ell,k}(r) + \frac{12}{r^3}\psi^{(3)}_{\ell,k}(r) - \frac{15}{r^4}\psi^{(2)}_{\ell,k}(r) + \frac{15}{r^5}\psi^{(1)}_{\ell,k}(r) \right) \\ + \frac{1}{r}\left(\psi^{(5)}_{\ell,k}(r) + \frac{2}{r}\psi^{(4)}_{\ell,k}(r) - \frac{3}{r^2}\psi^{(3)}_{\ell,k}(r) + \frac{3}{r^3}\psi^{(2)}_{\ell,k}(r) - \frac{3}{r^4}\psi^{(1)}_{\ell,k}(r) \right). \end{aligned}$$

With Lemma 5.1, the first term in the previous expression is equal to zero when $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$. As before, we can write the second term as a series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2k+\ell} \underline{\mathrm{b}}_i \, r^{i-6}.$$

Since $k \ge 3$, $\underline{\mathrm{b}}_1 = \underline{\mathrm{b}}_3 = \underline{\mathrm{b}}_5 = 0$ and equating coefficients gives

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathrm{b}}_2 &= b_2(-6 + 3(1)_2) = 0 \\ \underline{\mathrm{b}}_4 &= b_4(-12 + 3(3)_2 - 3(2)_3 + 2(1)_4) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which means we are left with

$$\sum_{i=6}^{2k+\ell} \underline{\mathrm{b}}_i \, r^{i-6}.$$

Hence the case of $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$, which is equivalent to $r = 0$, reduces down to $\underline{b}_6$ which is given by

$$\underline{b}_6 = ((2)_5 + 2(3)_4 - 3(4)_3 + 3(5)_2 - 18)b_6 = 1152b_6.$$

As before, combining positive terms into a generic constant C and noting that $k = 3, 4, \ldots$, we have from [3]

$$\begin{aligned} b_6 &= C(-1)^k \binom{\frac{5}{2}}{k} \\ &= C\frac{(-1)^k}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - (k-3))} \\ &= C(-1)^k(-1)^{k-3} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

The next theorem gives a lower bound on the minimum eigenvalue of $\mathbf{A}_\delta$.

Theorem 5.5 *Suppose the kernel $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is defined by* (17) *and define the scaled kernel $\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta$ by* (24) *with a positive scaling factor δ. Then the smallest eigenvalue of the collocation matrix defined by* (20) *and* (21) *can be bounded by*

$$\lambda_{\min}(\mathbf{A}) \geq C\left(\frac{q_X}{\delta}\right)^{2\tau} q_X^{-d-2},$$

where the constant C is independent of the pointset X.

Proof We follow the proof of [8, Theorem 4.1]. We will adopt the functional notation

$$\xi_{i,j}(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{cases} (L\mathbf{v})_i(\mathbf{x}_j) & \text{for} \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d, \\ \mathbf{v}_i(\mathbf{x}_j) & \text{for} \quad N+1 \leq j \leq M, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sigma_{i,j}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) := \xi_{i,j}\left(e^{i\mathbf{x}_j^T\boldsymbol{\omega}}\right).$$

Once again we will use the superscript y to denote that the functional acts with respect to its second argument. Then with $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{dM}$, we need to show that

$$\sum_{i,i'=1}^{d} \sum_{j,k=1}^{M} \beta_{i,j}\beta_{i',k}\xi_{i,j}\xi_{i',k}^{y}\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \geq C\left(\frac{q_X}{\delta}\right)^{2\tau} q_X^{-d-2}\|\beta\|_2^2. \tag{35}$$

Now with the inverse Fourier transform, the left hand side of (35) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i,i'=1}^{d} \sum_{j,k=1}^{M} \beta_{i,j}\beta_{i',k}\xi_{i,j}\xi_{i',k}^{y}\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \\ &\qquad = (2\pi)^{-d/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{j=1}^{M}\beta_{i,j}\sigma_{i,j}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right|^2 \widehat{\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} \end{aligned}$$

Now we define a second scaled kernel $\mathbf{\Phi}_a$ by (7) with $0 < a \leq 1$ and $a \leq \delta$. With (26), and recalling that $\psi_{\tau-1}$ satisfies (16) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\psi_{\tau-1,\delta}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \widehat{\psi_{\tau-1}}(\delta\boldsymbol{\omega}) &\geq c_{1,\tau-1}\left(1 + \|\delta\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{-\tau+1} \\
&= c_{1,\tau-1}\left(\frac{a}{\delta}\right)^{2\tau-2}\left(\left(\frac{a}{\delta}\right)^2 + \|a\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{-\tau+1} \\
&\geq c_{1,\tau-1}\left(\frac{a}{\delta}\right)^{2\tau-2}\left(1 + \|a\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{-\tau+1} \\
&\geq \frac{c_{1,\tau-1}}{c_{2,\tau-1}}\left(\frac{a}{\delta}\right)^{2\tau-2}\widehat{\psi_{\tau-1,a}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi_{\tau+1}$ satisfies (15) and with (18), we proceed similarly to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathbf{\Psi}_{\tau+1,\delta}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) &= \widehat{\mathbf{\Psi}_{\tau+1}}(\delta\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \left(\|\delta\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\mathbf{I} - \delta^2\boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{\omega}^T\right)\widehat{\psi_{\tau+1}}(\delta\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2) \\
&= c_{1,\tau+1}\left(\frac{a}{\delta}\right)^{2\tau}\left(\|a\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\mathbf{I} - a^2\boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{\omega}^T\right)\left(\left(\frac{a}{\delta}\right)^2 + \|a\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{-\tau-1} \\
&\geq c_{1,\tau+1}\left(\frac{a}{\delta}\right)^{2\tau}\left(\|a\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\mathbf{I} - a^2\boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{\omega}^T\right)\left(1 + \|a\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\right)^{-\tau-1} \\
&\geq \frac{c_{1,\tau+1}}{c_{2,\tau+1}}\left(\frac{a}{\delta}\right)^{2\tau}\left(\|a\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2\mathbf{I} - a^2\boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{\omega}^T\right)\widehat{\psi_{\tau+1}}(a\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2) \\
&= \frac{c_{1,\tau+1}}{c_{2,\tau+1}}\left(\frac{a}{\delta}\right)^{2\tau}\widehat{\mathbf{\Psi}_{\tau+1,a}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $a/\delta < 1$, we have the following bound on $\widehat{\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta}$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \geq c\left(\frac{a}{\delta}\right)^{2\tau}\widehat{\mathbf{\Phi}_a}(\boldsymbol{\omega}),$$

and hence we have

$$\sum_{i,i'=1}^{d}\sum_{j,k=1}^{M}\beta_{i,j}\beta_{i',k}\xi_{i,j}\xi_{i',k}^{y}\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \geq c\left(\frac{a}{\delta}\right)^{2\tau}\sum_{i,i'=1}^{d}\sum_{j,k=1}^{M}\beta_{i,j}\beta_{i',k}\xi_{i,j}\xi_{i',k}^{y}\mathbf{\Phi}_a(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}),$$

and if we select $a = q_X \leq 1$ such that we need only consider entries of the quadratic form corresponding to equal centres, with the definition of the scaled kernel in (7), this reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i,i'=1}^{d}\sum_{j,k=1}^{M}\beta_{i,j}\beta_{i',k}\xi_{i,j}\xi_{i',k}^{y}\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \\
&\geq c\left(\frac{q_X}{\delta}\right)^{2\tau} q_X^{-d}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{N}\beta_{i,j}^2\left(-\sum_{j=\{1:d\}\backslash i} q_X^{-6}\partial_{jj}\mathit{\Delta}^2\psi_{\tau+1}(0) - q_X^{-2}\partial_{ii}\psi_{\tau-1}(0)\right) + \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \left.\sum_{j=N+1}^{M}\beta_{i,j}^2\left(-\sum_{j=\{1:d\}\backslash i} q_X^{-2}\partial_{jj}\psi_{\tau-1}(0)\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

since for interior centres we have

$$\xi_{i,j}\xi^{y}_{i',k}\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})|_{j=k}=\begin{cases}-\nu^2\sum_{j=1:d\setminus i}\partial_{jj}\Delta^2\psi_{\tau+1}(0)-\partial_{ii}\psi_{\tau-1}(0) & \text{for} \quad i=i',\\ \nu^2\partial_{ii'}\Delta^2\psi_{\tau+1}(0)-\partial_{ii'}\psi_{\tau-1}(0)=0 & \text{for} \quad i\neq i',\end{cases} \tag{36}$$

with Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2. Similarly for the boundary centres

$$\xi_{i,j}\xi^{y}_{i',k}\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})|_{j=k}=\begin{cases}-\sum_{j=1:d\setminus i}\partial_{jj}\psi_{\tau-1}(0) & \text{for} \quad i=i',\\ -\partial_{ii'}\psi_{\tau-1}(0)=0 & \text{for} \quad i\neq i',\end{cases} \tag{37}$$

and then the result follows as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{j,k=1}^{M}\beta_{i,j}\beta_{i,k}\xi_{i,j}\xi^{y}_{i,k}\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\geq c\,\tilde{c}\left(\frac{q_X}{\delta}\right)^{2\tau}q_X^{-d-2}\|\beta\|_2^2,$$

with Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4 which give

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}:=&\min_{1\leq i\leq d}\left(-\sum_{j=\{1:d\}\setminus i}q_X^{-4}\partial_{jj}\Delta^2\psi_{\tau+1}(0)-\partial_{ii}\psi_{\tau-1}(0),-\sum_{j=\{1:d\}\setminus i}\partial_{jj}\psi_{\tau-1}(0)\right)\\ \geq&\min_{1\leq i\leq d}\left(-\sum_{j=\{1:d\}\setminus i}\partial_{jj}\Delta^2\psi_{\tau+1}(0)-\partial_{ii}\psi_{\tau-1}(0),-\sum_{j=\{1:d\}\setminus i}\partial_{jj}\psi_{\tau-1}(0)\right)\\ =&\min\left(-\sum_{j=2}^{d}\partial_{jj}\Delta^2\psi_{\tau+1}(0)-\partial_{11}\psi_{\tau-1}(0),-\sum_{j=2}^{d}\partial_{jj}\psi_{\tau-1}(0)\right),\end{aligned}$$

since $\psi_{\tau+1}$ is a radial function and $\partial_{ii}\psi_{\tau-1}(0)$ is independent of i from Lemma 5.3.

Our next result bounds the maximum eigenvalue $\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A}_\delta)$.

Theorem 5.6 *Suppose the kernel $\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta$ is defined as in Theorem 5.5. Then if we assume that*

$$M\leq C\bar{h}^{-d}, \tag{38}$$

where M denotes the number of (interior and boundary) centres, then the largest eigenvalue of the collocation matrix constructed with $\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta$ defined by (20) and (21) can be bounded by

$$\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A}_\delta)\leq C\,\delta^{-d-2}\,\bar{h}^{-d},$$

if $\delta\geq 1$ and by

$$\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A}_\delta)\leq C\,\delta^{-d-6}\,\bar{h}^{-d},$$

if $\delta<1$, where the constants C are independent of the pointset X.

Proof Using the notation from Theorem 5.5, together with Gershgorin's theorem, we have

$$|\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A}_\delta) - \xi_{i,j}\xi^y_{i,j}\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})| \leq \sum_{i'=1}^{d} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ i'\neq i, k\neq j}}^{M} |\xi_{i,j}\xi^y_{i,k}\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})|, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d$$

which since $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is positive definite, using (38), Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4, the definition of the scaled kernels (7) and (36) and (37), if $\delta \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A}_\delta) &\leq dM\|\xi_{i',\cdot}\xi^y_{i,\cdot}\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\Omega\times\Omega)} \\ &\leq C\, d\, \bar{h}^{-d} \max\left(-\sum_{j=2}^{d}\partial_{jj}\Delta^2\psi_{\tau+1,\delta}(0) - \partial_{11}\psi_{\tau-1,\delta}(0), -\sum_{j=2}^{d}\partial_{jj}\psi_{\tau-1,\delta}(0)\right) \\ &\leq C\, d\, \bar{h}^{-d}\delta^{-d-2} \max\left(-\sum_{j=2}^{d}\partial_{jj}\Delta^2\psi_{\tau+1}(0) - \partial_{11}\psi_{\tau-1}(0), -\sum_{j=2}^{d}\partial_{jj}\psi_{\tau-1}(0)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used that

$$\partial_{jj}\Delta^2\psi_{\tau+1,\delta}(0) = \delta^{-d}\partial_{jj}\Delta^2\delta^{-6}\psi_{\tau+1}(0) \leq \delta^{-d-2}\partial_{jj}\Delta^2\psi_{\tau+1}(0),$$

since $\delta \geq 1$. If $\delta < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{A}_\delta) &\leq dM\|\xi_{i',\cdot}\xi^y_{i,\cdot}\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\Omega\times\Omega)} \\ &\leq C\, d\, \bar{h}^{-d}\delta^{-d-6} \max\left(-\sum_{j=2}^{d}\partial_{jj}\Delta^2\psi_{\tau+1}(0) - \partial_{11}\psi_{\tau-1}(0), -\sum_{j=2}^{d}\partial_{jj}\psi_{\tau-1}(0)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used, for example, that

$$\partial_{11}\psi_{\tau-1,\delta}(0) = \delta^{-d}\partial_{11}\delta^{-2}\psi_{\tau-1}(0) \leq \delta^{-d-6}\partial_{11}\psi_{\tau-1}(0),$$

which completes the proof.

We note that (38) will hold if, for example, the dataset is quasi-uniform, which means that h_j/q_j is bounded above by a constant.

Now with (32) and Theorems 5.5 and 5.6, we obtain the following theorem where we write $q_j := q_{X_j}$.

Theorem 5.7 *Suppose the kernel $\mathbf{\Phi}_\delta$ is defined as in Theorem 5.5. Then the condition number of the multiscale symmetric collocation matrix in Algorithm 1 is level-dependent and is bounded by*

$$\kappa_j \leq C\left(\frac{\bar{h}_j}{q_j}\right)^{2\tau-d-2}\bar{h}_j^{-\frac{3}{\tau+1}(2\tau-d-2)-d},$$

if $\delta \geq 1$ and by

$$\kappa_j \leq C\left(\frac{\bar{h}_j}{q_j}\right)^{2\tau-d-2}\bar{h}_j^{-\frac{3}{\tau+1}(2\tau-d-6)-d-4},$$

if $\delta < 1$*. In the case of quasi-uniform datasets and* $h_j \le 1$*, these reduce to*

$$\kappa_j \le C\,\bar{h}_j^{-2\tau+2}.$$

Proof The first two results follows with $\delta_j = \beta \bar{h}_j^{1-3/(\tau+1)}$ and (32) and Theorems 5.5 and 5.6. If the datasets are quasi-uniform, which means that h_j/q_j is bounded above by a constant, the final result follows by simplifying the first two expressions.

6 Numerical experiments

In this section, we present the results from applying the multiscale algorithm described in Algorithm 1 with $\Omega = [0,1]^2$ and $\nu = 1$ to the Stokes problem with exact solution given by

$$\mathbf{u}(x_1,x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\cos(5x_1)\cos(2x_2) \\ 5\sin(5x_1)\sin(x_2) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$p(x_1,x_2) = \sin(3x_1)\sin(3x_2) + C.$$

This gives

$$\mathbf{f}(x_1,x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 58\cos(5x_1)\cos(2x_2) + 3\cos(3x_1)\sin(3x_2) \\ 145\sin(5x_1)\sin(2x_2) + 3\sin(3x_1)\cos(3x_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\mathbf{g}$ equal to the restriction of $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ to $\partial\Omega$.

We use the C^8 Wendland radial basis function given by

$$\psi_{6,4}(\|\mathbf{x}\|) = (1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|)_+^{10}\left(429\|\mathbf{x}\|^4 + 450\|\mathbf{x}\|^3 + 210\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 50\|\mathbf{x}\| + 5\right),$$

which is positive definite on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and generates the Sobolev space $H^{5.5}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ [21]. We use the same kernel for both $\psi_{\tau+1}$ and $\psi_{\tau-1}$. Consequently, in this case $\tau = 4.5$. Since $d = 2$, our approximate solution takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{S}_X\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{1,j} \begin{pmatrix} \nu\partial_{22}\Delta\psi_{\tau+1}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j) \\ -\nu\partial_{12}\Delta\psi_{\tau+1}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j) \\ -\partial_1\psi_{\tau-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j) \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=N+1}^{M} \alpha_{1,j} \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{22}\psi_{\tau+1}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j) \\ \partial_{12}\psi_{\tau+1}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{2,j} \begin{pmatrix} -\nu\partial_{12}\Delta\psi_{\tau+1}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j) \\ \nu\partial_{11}\Delta\psi_{\tau+1}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j) \\ -\partial_2\psi_{\tau-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j) \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=N+1}^{M} \alpha_{2,j} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{12}\psi_{\tau+1}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j) \\ -\partial_{11}\psi_{\tau+1}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

We used five levels for the approximation, with N equally spaced points for the interior point sets and $4(\sqrt{N}-1)$ equally spaced boundary centres. The number of interior points, N_j, the number of boundary points, $M_j - N_j$, and the maximum mesh norms at each level, $\bar{h}_j$, are given in Table 1. We note that the (maximum) mesh norms decrease by one half at each level and hence we select $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$. For the scaling parameters, since $\tau = 4.5$, Algorithm 1 specifies

Level	1	2	3	4	5
N	25	81	289	1089	4225
$M - N$	16	32	64	128	256
$\bar{h}$	1/4	1/8	1/16	1/32	1/64

Table 1: The number of interior and boundary points used at each level and the maximum mesh norm each level for the numerical experiment

that

$$\delta_j = \beta \bar{h}_j^{2.5/5.5}$$

with β constant. With the given value of $\bar{h}_1$ in Table 1, we select β such that $\delta_1 = 10$. This gives $\beta = 18.779$ and we use this to generate the other δ values which are given along with the L_2 and L_∞ errors and condition numbers (κ) collocation matrix in Table 2. The L_2 error was estimated using Gaussian quadrature with a 300×300 tensor product grid of Gauss-Legendre points and the L_∞ error was estimated with the same tensor product grid. We used MATLAB for the calculations and worked with double precision.

Level	1	2	3	4	5
δ_j	10	7.29	5.33	3.89	2.84
$\|\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u},j}\|_{\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)}$	1.592e-02	6.498e-04	3.274e-05	1.650e-06	1.028e-07
$\|\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{u},j}\|_{\mathbf{L}_\infty(\Omega)}$	2.740e-02	2.233e-03	1.462e-04	8.268e-06	4.579e-07
$\|\nabla e_{p,j}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$	1.112e+00	1.222e-01	1.235e-02	2.561e-03	5.612e-04
$\|\nabla e_{p,j}\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}$	4.209e+00	3.338e-01	1.048e-01	3.650e-02	1.211e-02
κ_j	1.268e+09	7.768e+11	2.573e+14	6.879e+16	1.674e+19

Table 2: The scaling factors, approximation errors and condition numbers of the collocation matrices for the multiscale symmetric collocation Stokes problem example

References

1. Brenner, S.C., Scott, L.R.: The Mathematical Theory of Finite Element Methods, 3 edn. Texts in Applied Mathematics. Springer (2008)
2. Chernih, A., Gia, Q.T.L.: Multiscale methods with compactly supported radial basis functions for galerkin approximation of elliptic pdes (2012)
3. Chernih, A., Hubbert, S.: Closed form representations and properties of the generalised Wendland functions (2012)
4. Fasshauer, G.E.: Meshfree Approximation Methods with MATLAB, *Interdisciplinary Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 6. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore (2007)
5. Floater, M.S., Iske, A.: Multistep scattered data interpolation using compactly supported radial basis functions. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics **73**, 65–78 (1996)
6. Fuselier, E.: Improved stability estimates and a characterization of the native space for matrix-valued rbfs. Adv. Comput. Math **29**, 269–290 (2008)
7. Fuselier, E.: Sobolev-type approximation rates for divergence-free and curl-free rbf interpolants. Math. Comp. **77**, 1407–1423 (2008)

8. Giesl, P., Wendland, H.: Meshless collocation: Error estimates with application to dynamical systems. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis **45**, 1723–1741 (2007)
9. Gradshteyn, I.S., Ryzhik, I.M.: Table of Integrals, Series and Products, 7 edn. Elsevier (2007)
10. Le Gia, Q.T., Sloan, I.H., Wendland, H.: Multiscale analysis in Sobolev spaces on the sphere. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis **48**, 2065–2090 (2010)
11. Le Gia, Q.T., Sloan, I.H., Wendland, H.: Multiscale RBF collocation for solving PDEs on spheres. Numerische Mathematik **121**, 99–125 (2012)
12. Lowitzsch, S.: A density theorem for matrix-valued radial basis functions. Numer. Algorithms **39**, 253–256 (2005)
13. Lowitzsch, S.: Error estimates for matrix-valued radial basis function interpolation. J. Approx. Theory **137**, 234–249 (2005)
14. Masud, A., Khurram, R.: A multiscale finite element method for the incompressible navier-stokes equations. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering **195**, 1750–1777 (2006)
15. Narcowich, F.J., Ward, J.D.: Generalized Hermite interpolation via matrix-valued conditionally positive definite functions. Math. Comp. **63**, 661–687 (1994)
16. Narcowich, F.J., Ward, J.D., Wendland, H.: Sobolev bounds on functions with scattered zeros, with applications to radial basis function surface fitting. Mathematics of Computation **74**, 743–763 (2005)
17. Narcowich, F.J., Ward, J.D., Wendland, H.: Sobolev error estimates and a Bernstein inequality for scattered data interpolation via radial basis functions. Constructive Approximation **24**, 175–186 (2006)
18. Schaback, R.: On the efficiency of interpolation by radial basis functions. In: C.R. A. Le Méhauté, L.L. Schumaker (eds.) Surface Fitting and Multiresolution Methods, pp. 309–318. Vanderbilt University Press (1996)
19. Stein, E.M.: Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey (1970)
20. Temam, R.: Navier-Stokes Equations, Theory and Numerical Analysis. AMS Chelsea Publishing, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1977)
21. Wendland, H.: Scattered Data Approximation, *Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics*, vol. 17. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2005)
22. Wendland, H.: Divergence-free kernel methods for approximating the Stokes problem. SIAM Journal of Numerical Analysis **47**, 3158–3179 (2009)
23. Wendland, H.: Multiscale analysis in Sobolev spaces on bounded domains. Numerische Mathematik **116**, 493–517 (2010)